Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation in Specific Disability Categories

Instructions and Measurement

Monitoring Priority: Disproportionality

Compliance indicator: Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.

(20 U.S.C. 1416(a)(3)(C))

Data Source

State's analysis, based on State's Child Count data collected under IDEA section 618, to determine if the disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories was the result of inappropriate identification.

Measurement

Percent = [(# of districts, that meet the State-established n and/or cell size (if applicable) for one or more racial/ethnic groups, with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification) divided by the (# of districts in the State that meet the State-established n and/or cell size (if applicable) for one or more racial/ethnic groups)] times 100.

Include State's definition of "disproportionate representation." Please specify in your definition: 1) the calculation method(s) being used (i.e., risk ratio, weighted risk ratio, e-formula, etc.); and 2) the threshold at which disproportionate representation is identified. Also include, as appropriate, 3) the number of years of data used in the calculation; and 4) any minimum cell and/or n-sizes (i.e., risk numerator and/or risk denominator).

Based on its review of the 618 data for the reporting year, describe how the State made its annual determination as to whether the disproportionate representation it identified of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories was the result of inappropriate identification as required by 34 CFR §§300.600(d)(3) and 300.602(a), e.g., using monitoring data; reviewing policies, practices and procedures, etc. In determining disproportionate representation, analyze data, for each district, for all racial and ethnic groups in the district, or all racial and ethnic groups in the district that meet a minimum n and/or cell size set by the State. Report on the percent of districts in which disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories is the result of inappropriate identification, even if the determination of inappropriate identification was made after the end of the FFY 2021 reporting period (i.e., after June 30, 2022).

Instructions

Provide racial/ethnic disproportionality data for all children aged 5 who are enrolled in kindergarten and aged 6 through 21 served under IDEA. Provide these data at a minimum for children in the following six disability categories: intellectual disability, specific learning disabilities, emotional disturbance, speech or language impairments, other health impairments, and autism. If a State has identified disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories other than these six disability categories, the State must include these data and report on whether the State determined that the disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories was the result of inappropriate identification.

States are not required to report on underrepresentation.

If the State has established a minimum n and/or cell size requirement, the State may only include, in both the numerator and the denominator, districts that met that State-established n and/or cell size. If the State used a minimum n and/or cell size requirement, report the number of districts totally excluded from the calculation as a result of this requirement because the district did not meet the minimum n and/or cell size for any racial/ethnic group.

Consider using multiple methods in calculating disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups to reduce the risk of overlooking potential problems. Describe the method(s) used to calculate disproportionate representation.

Provide the number of districts that met the State-established n and/or cell size (if applicable) for one or more racial/ethnic groups identified with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories and the number of those districts identified with disproportionate representation that is the result of inappropriate identification.

Targets must be 0%

Provide detailed information about the timely correction of noncompliance as noted in OSEP's response for the previous SPP/APR. If the State did not ensure timely correction of the previous noncompliance, provide information on the extent to which noncompliance was subsequently corrected (more than one year after identification). In addition, provide information regarding the nature of any continuing noncompliance, improvement activities completed (e.g., review of policies and procedures, technical assistance, training, etc.) and any enforcement actions that were taken.

If the State reported less than 100% compliance for the previous reporting period (e.g., for the FFY 2021 SPP/APR, the data for FFY 2020), and the State did not identify any findings of noncompliance, provide an explanation of why the State did not identify any findings of noncompliance.

10 - Indicator Data

Not Applicable

Select yes if this indicator is not applicable.

NC

Historical Data

| Baseline Year | Baseline Data | |
|---------------|---------------|--|
| 2020 | 0.00% | |

| FFY | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Target | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Data | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |

Targets

54 Part B

| FFY | 2021 | 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 |
|--------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Target | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% | 0% |

FFY 2021 SPP/APR Data

Has the state established a minimum n and/or cell size requirement? (yes/no)

YES

If yes, the State may only include, in both the numerator and the denominator, districts that met the State-established n and/or cell size. Report the number of districts excluded from the calculation as a result of the requirement.

263

| Number of districts with disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories | Number of districts with disproportionate representation of racial/ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification | Number of districts that met the State's minimum n and/or cell size | FFY 2020 Data | FFY 2021 Target | FFY 2021 Data | Status | Slippage |
|--|---|--|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------|-------------|
| 1 | 0 | 136 | 0.00% | 0% | 0.00% | Met target | No Slippage |

Were all races and ethnicities included in the review?

YES

Define "disproportionate representation." Please specify in your definition: 1) the calculation method(s) being used (i.e., risk ratio, weighted risk ratio, e-formula, etc.); and 2) the threshold at which disproportionate representation is identified. Also include, as appropriate, 3) the number of years of data used in the calculation; and 4) any minimum cell and/or n-sizes (i.e., risk numerator and/or risk denominator).

A LEA is determined to have disproportionate overrepresentation if, given a minimum N of 10, an LEA demonstrates a statistically significant difference in the proportion of students with disabilities of racial and ethnic groups within a specific disability category receiving special education and related services compared to the proportion of students with disabilities of all other racial and ethnic groups and in specific other disability categories receiving special education and related services in that LEA, within a 99 percent confidence interval.

Using a minimum N of 10 and a 99 percent confidence interval, a test of difference between proportions was used to measure statistically significant differences between the special education identification rate for students of a specific racial and ethnic group and specific disability category, and the special education identification rate for all other students within that LEA. Target data show that one of the 399 LEAs demonstrated a statistically significant difference, resulting in determination of disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services. The minimum N of 10 is applied to the numerator of this equation - that is, if a LEA does not have at least 10 students with disabilities in a particular racial/ethnic category and specific disability category, the data is not reviewed for that LEA.

Of the 399 districts in Montana for the 2021-2022 school year, 136 met the minimum N in at least one of the racial groups and were included in the calculations for that racial group. A total of 263 LEAs did not meet the minimum N in any of the racial groups reviewed and were excluded from all calculations

Describe how the State made its annual determination as to whether the disproportionate overrepresentation it identified of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories was the result of inappropriate identification.

Once a LEA is flagged for disproportionate representation, the policies and procedures of that LEA, results of on-site compliance monitoring, and dispute resolution data are reviewed to determine if the disproportionate representation is due to inappropriate identification. The district is informed of the results of the review.

The LEA reviews included:

- -- Interviews with Administration;
- -- Reviewed the most current Program Narratives and Policies;
- -- Reviewed two SPED files (including Evaluation Reports and IEPs) from the district;
- -- Reviewed Child Count information; and ups under IDEA
- -- Considered most current monitoring data

Upon SEA review of LEA's identified as potential Disproportionate Representation. The SEA has determined that these qualifiers do not suggest inappropriate (over) identification of minority groups as defined in indicator 10.

Provide additional information about this indicator (optional)

Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified in FFY 2020

| Findings of Noncompliance Identified | Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected Within One Year | Findings of Noncompliance Subsequently Corrected | Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Correction of Findings of Noncompliance Identified Prior to FFY 2020

| Year Findings of Noncompliance Were Identified | Findings of Noncompliance Not Yet Verified as Corrected as of FFY 2020 APR | Findings of Noncompliance Verified as Corrected | Findings Not Yet Verified as Corrected |
|--|--|--|---|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

10 - Prior FFY Required Actions

None

10 - OSEP Response

10 - Required Actions

56 Part B